

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Langevin approach to the dynamics of interacting Brownian particles

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 2889 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/12/537) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 06:27

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Corrigenda

Langevin approach to the dynamics of interacting Brownian particles Pusey P N and Tough R J A 1982 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 15 1291-308

Equation (2.28) should read

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}F(K,\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau^{3}}$$
$$= -D_{0}^{3}K^{6} - \frac{3D_{0}^{2}K^{4}}{Nf} \sum_{i} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial r_{i1}^{2}} \right\rangle + \frac{2D_{0}^{2}K^{3}}{Nf} \sum_{i,j} \left\langle \sin(Kr_{ij1}) \frac{\partial^{3}U}{\partial r_{i1}^{2} \partial r_{j1}} \right\rangle$$
$$- \frac{D_{0}K^{2}}{Nf^{2}} \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{\alpha} \left\langle \exp(iKr_{ij1}) \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial r_{i1} \partial r_{k\alpha}} \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial r_{i1} \partial r_{j1}} \right\rangle.$$

Due to an oversight the third term was missing in the original paper. The correct version of this equation (as above) was obtained recently by JL Arauz-Lara and M Medina-Noyola (*Physica A* in press) and we are grateful to them for pointing out our error. Starting from the Smoluchowski equation, these authors have also obtained expressions for $dF/d\tau$, $d^2F/d\tau^2$ and $d^3F/d\tau^3$ for a suspension containing two types of particle.

2D to 3D percolation crossover in the resistivity of co-evaporated Al-Ge mixture films

Kapitulnik A and Deutscher G 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 L243-8

In the figure caption to figure 1 the last sentence should be replaced by: 'The straight line is the best fit for the 2D regime.'

In figure 2 the vertical axis should be l(Å) rather than d(Å).